Rational Chebyshev Approximations for the Error Function*

By W. J. Cody

Abstract. This note presents nearly-best rational approximations for the functions erf (x) and erfc (x), with maximal relative errors ranging down to between 6×10^{-19} and 3×10^{-20}.

In [1] Hart, et al., present rational approximations for the function

$$\text{erfc } (x) \equiv 1 - \text{erf } (x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_x^\infty e^{-t^2} dt$$

valid for $0 \leq x \leq \alpha$, where $\alpha = 4, 8, 10,$ or 20. They carefully point out [1, p. 138] that these approximations are not useful for computing the error function

$$\text{erf } (x) \equiv 1 - \text{erfc } (x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^x e^{-t^2} dt$$

for small x because of subtraction error, but they do not provide any alternative. Hastings' [2] approximations for erf (x) are no better, since they explicitly use the constant 1 as an additive term and are chosen to nearly minimize the maximum absolute error rather than the relative error. Clenshaw's [3] Chebyshev series expansions for erf $(x)/x$ come close to minimizing relative error, but his approximations are somewhat inefficient because of his choice of interval and his restriction to polynomials.

For a computer subroutine with entries for both erf (x) and erfc (x), cancellation error can be avoided by evaluating erf (x) directly and erfc (x) indirectly (as $1 - \text{erf } (x)$) when erf (x) is smaller in magnitude than erfc (x), and erf (x) indirectly and erfc (x) directly, otherwise. The changeover point occurs for $|x| \simeq .47$.

In this note we present nearly-best rational approximations for the functions erf (x) and erfc (x) with maximal relative errors ranging down to between 6×10^{-19} and 3×10^{-20}. The approximation forms and intervals used are

$$\text{erf } (x) \simeq x R_{lm}(x^2) , \qquad |x| \leq .5 ,$$
$$\text{erfc } (x) \simeq e^{-x^2} R_{lm}(x) , \qquad .46875 \leq x \leq 4.0 ,$$
$$\text{erfc } (x) \simeq \frac{e^{-x^2}}{x} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} + \frac{1}{x^2} R_{lm}(1/x^2) \right\} , \qquad x \geq 4 ,$$

where the $R_{lm}(z)$ are rational functions of degree l in the numerator and m in the denominator. The relations erf $(-x) = -\text{erf } (x)$ and erfc $(-x) = 2 - \text{erfc } (x)$ can be used to evaluate the functions for negative arguments.

Table I. $\mathcal{E}_{\ell m} = -100 \log_{10} \max \left| \dfrac{f(x) - f_{\ell m}(x)}{f(x)} \right|$

f(x) = erf(x), |x| ≤ .5

m\ℓ	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
0	139	313	496	688	887	1092			
1	417	556*	753	960	1172	1390			
2	558	702	986*	1212	1438	1666			
3	800	956	1307	1465*	1698	1935			
4	962	1108	1466	1626	1950*				
5	1158	1338	1751	1932					

f(x) = erfc(x),   .46875 ≤ x ≤ 4.0

m\ℓ	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
0		61	109	161	214	270			
1	164	222*	280	340	401	462			
2		376	441	506	572	638			
3		440	597	666	736	806			
4		502	666	824	897*				
5					1056	1132*			
6						1292	1371		
7							1532	1613*	
8								1775	1859*

f(x) = erfc(x),   x ≥ 4.0

m\ℓ	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
0		628	756	876					
1		688*	828	958	1081	1198			
2		855	998*	1131	1256				
3		992	1151	1287*	1415				
4		1116	1283	1431	1561*				
5		1232	1405	1558		1824*			

*Coefficients for these approximations only are given in Tables II-IV.

Table II. $\mathrm{erf}(x) \simeq f_{nn}(x) = x \sum_{j=0}^{n} p_j x^{2j} \Big/ \sum_{j=0}^{n} q_j x^{2j}, \qquad |x| \le .5$

n	j	p_j		q_j	
1	0	3.67678 77	(00)	3.25845 93	(00)
	1	-9.79704 65	(-02)	1.00000 00	(00)
2	0	2.13853 32237 8	(01)	1.89522 57241 5	(01)
	1	1.72227 57703 9	(00)	7.84374 57083 0	(00)
	2	3.16652 89065 8	(-01)	1.00000 00000 0	(00)
3	0	2.42667 95523 05317 5	(02)	2.15058 87586 98612 0	(02)
	1	2.19792 61618 29415 2	(01)	9.11649 05404 51490 1	(01)
	2	6.99638 34886 19135 5	(00)	1.50827 97630 40778 7	(01)
	3	-3.56098 43701 81538 5	(-02)	1.00000 00000 00000 0	(00)
4	0	3.20937 75891 38469 47256 2	(03)	2.84423 68334 39170 62227 3	(03)
	1	3.77485 23768 53020 20813 7	(02)	1.28261 65260 77372 27564 5	(03)
	2	1.13864 15415 10501 55649 5	(02)	2.44024 63793 44441 73305 6	(02)
	3	3.16112 37438 70565 59694 7	(00)	2.36012 90952 34412 09349 9	(01)
	4	1.85777 70618 46031 52673 0	(-01)	1.00000 00000 00000 00000 0	(00)

Table III. $erfc(x) \approx f_{nn}(x) = e^{-x^2} \sum_{j=0}^{n} p_j x^j \Big/ \sum_{j=0}^{n} q_j x^j$, $.46875 \leq x \leq 4.0$

n	j	p_j		q_j	
1	0	7.3033	(-01)	6.6211	(-01)
	1	-2.3877	(-02)	1.0000	(00)
4	0	7.37388 83116	(00)	7.37396 08908	(00)
	1	6.86501 84849	(00)	1.51849 08190	(01)
	2	3.03179 93362	(00)	1.27955 29509	(01)
	3	5.63169 61891	(-01)	5.35421 67949	(00)
	4	4.31877 87405	(-05)	1.00000 00000	(00)
5	0	2.28989 92851 659	(01)	2.28989 85749 891	(01)
	1	2.60947 46956 075	(01)	5.19335 70687 552	(01)
	2	1.45718 98596 926	(01)	5.02732 02863 803	(01)
	3	4.26772 01070 898	(00)	2.62887 95758 761	(01)
	4	5.64371 60686 381	(-01)	7.56884 82293 618	(00)
	5	-6.08581 51959 688	(-06)	1.00000 00000 0000	(00)

i	P	( )
0	3.00459 26095 69832 933	(02)
1	7.90950 92532 78980 272	(02)
2	9.31354 09485 06096 211	(02)
3	6.38980 26446 56311 665	(02)
4	2.77585 44474 39876 434	(02)
5	7.70001 52935 22947 295	(01)
6	1.27827 27319 62942 351	(01)
7	1.00000 00000 00000 000	(00)

i	P	( )
0	1.23033 03749 42043	(03)
1	3.43936 43721 63696	(03)
2	4.36261 43247 15820	(03)
3	3.29079 33459 62678	(03)
4	1.62138 66690 18874	(03)
5	5.37181 20098 57509	(02)
6	1.17693 13124 99305	(02)
7	1.57449 70983 47253	(01)
8	1.00000 00000 00000	(00)

i	P	( )
0	3.00459 26102 01616 005	(02)
1	4.51918 95371 18729 422	(02)
2	3.39320 81673 43436 870	(02)
3	1.52989 28504 69404 039	(02)
4	4.31622 27222 05673 530	(01)
5	7.21175 82508 83093 659	(00)
6	5.64195 51747 89739 711	(-01)
7	-1.36864 85738 27167 067	(-07)

i	P	( )
0	1.23033 93547 97997 25272	(03)
1	2.05107 83778 26071 46532	(03)
2	1.71204 76126 34070 58314	(03)
3	8.81952 22124 17690 90411	(02)
4	2.98635 13819 74001 31132	(02)
5	6.61191 90637 14162 94775	(01)
6	8.88314 97943 88375 94118	(00)
7	5.64188 49698 86700 89180	(-01)
8	2.15311 53547 44038 46343	(-08)

7

8

Table IV. $\text{erfc}(x) \approx f_{nn}(x) = \dfrac{e^{-x^2}}{x}\left\{\dfrac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} + \dfrac{1}{x^2} \left. \sum\limits_{j=0}^{n} p_j x^{-2j} \middle/ \sum\limits_{j=0}^{n} q_j x^{-2j} \right.\right\}, \qquad x \geq 4.0$

n	j	p_j		q_j	
1	0	-1.24368 544	(-01)	4.40917 061	(-01)
	1	-9.68210 364	(-02)	1.00000 000	(00)
2	0	-4.25799 64355 3	(-02)	1.50942 07054 5	(-01)
	1	-1.96068 97372 6	(-01)	9.21452 41169 4	(-01)
	2	-5.16882 26218 5	(-02)	1.00000 00000 0	(00)
3	0	-1.21308 27638 9978	(-02)	4.30026 64345 2770	(-02)
	1	-1.19903 95526 8146	(-01)	4.89552 44196 1437	(-01)
	2	-2.43911 02948 8626	(-01)	1.43771 22793 7118	(00)
	3	-3.24319 51927 7746	(-02)	1.00000 00000 0000	(00)
4	0	-2.99610 70770 35421 74	(-03)	1.06209 23052 84679 18	(-02)
	1	-4.94730 91062 32507 34	(-02)	1.91308 92610 78298 41	(-01)
	2	-2.26956 59353 96869 30	(-01)	1.05167 51070 67932 07	(00)
	3	-2.78661 30860 96477 88	(-01)	1.98733 20181 71352 56	(00)
	4	-2.23192 45973 41846 86	(-02)	1.00000 00000 00000 00	(00)
5	0	-6.58749 16152 98378 03157	(-04)	2.33520 49762 68691 85443	(-03)
	1	-1.60837 85148 74227 66278	(-02)	6.05183 41312 44131 91178	(-02)
	2	-1.25781 72611 12292 46204	(-01)	5.27905 10295 14284 12248	(-01)
	3	-3.60344 89994 98044 39429	(-01)	1.87295 28499 23460 47209	(00)
	4	-3.05326 63496 12323 44035	(-01)	2.56852 01922 89822 42072	(00)
	5	-1.63153 87137 30209 78498	(-02)	1.00000 00000 00000 00000	(00)

Table I presents the initial segments of the L_∞ Walsh arrays while Tables II, III, and IV present selected approximations. All approximations were generated using a standard version of the Remes algorithm [4] on a CDC 3600. The master function routines used continued-fraction expansions described in [1] and were verified to be accurate to at least 22S. Finally, the accuracy of the approximations as presented here was verified by comparison against the master routines using 5000 pseudo-random arguments.

Argonne National Laboratory
Argonne, Illinois 60439

1. J. F. HART, et al., *Computer Approximations*, Siam Series in Appl. Math., Wiley, New York, 1968.
2. C. HASTINGS, JR., *Approximations for Digital Computers*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1955. MR **16**, 963.
3. C. W. CLENSHAW, *Chebyshev Series for Mathematical Functions*, National Physical Lab. Math. Tables, vol. 5, H.M.S.O., London, 1962. MR **26** #362.
4. W. J. CODY, W. FRASER & J. F. HART, "Rational Chebyshev approximations using linear equations," *Numer. Math.*, v. 12, 1968, pp. 242–251.